

Occurrence enquiry log report

North Yorkshire

Occurrence: 12220012237 Crime Violence [CR14.NYP] @22/01/2022 16:27 OP NIGHT
Task status: All
Log type: All

Valid as of 23/06/2022 08:24:11
 Printed by #001720 FURLONG, DAVE

#	Type	Entry time	Event time	Author	Link	Task
1	CRU	22/01/2022 17:56		#003615 SPRAKES, KERRY	No	

Log entry:

This Occurrence has been reviewed as part of the Crime Validation process. A crime recording decision has been made using information recorded within the Storm Log. Validation P grade – OIC yet to be assigned at validation
 Local Qualifier applied: n/a
 NICL Qualifier applied: drugs alcohol
 Occ Type – violence

2	Investigator action	22/01/2022 23:21		#001665 SOUSTER, KATHERINE	No	
---	---------------------	------------------	--	----------------------------	----	--

Log entry: Click to open: [Exhibit \(POTF\) Submission Form](#)

3	Investigator action	23/01/2022 00:12		#001665 SOUSTER, KATHERINE	No	
---	---------------------	------------------	--	----------------------------	----	--

Log entry:

OP NIGHT

4 simple steps

actions completed:

i have visited the victims home address and obtained an MG11 from [REDACTED], in the presence of her mother [REDACTED].
 in the statement [REDACTED] tells me that she went to a restaurant for food, had 2 glasses of wine and some chips. she then went onto Regency Chinese for a karaoke nights from 2100 - 2300. during that time [REDACTED] had 1 single and 2 double vodka and cokes.
 [REDACTED] didnt start to feel unwell until she was on her way home. her eyes started rolling back in her head and her jaw locked making her unable to talk. once at home she vomited and fell asleep.
 she has no memory of the night after trying to tell her friend she wasnt well but not being able to get the words out.
 he next day she paid for a drugs test and it came back positive for benzodiazepines.
 [REDACTED] states she hadnt taken that or any other drug or medication that day.
 [REDACTED] said they were joined by a load of lads from college whos names she isnt sure of but doesnt think any of them would spike her.
 she said here was a bouncer who was following her around talking to her.
 as per guidance i have collected a urine sample KS/01 and secured this in the exhibits freezer.
 MG21 submitted for analysis of the urine.
 description and locations of victim throughout the night are on the MG11.

court procedures and VPS explained to victim.

the bouncers details have been located as [REDACTED]. [REDACTED].
 Regency Chinese have confirmed they have CCTV inside but it cant be accessed until the owner is in tomorrow.

actions outstanding:

- view CCTV from regency Chinese
- checks on [REDACTED]
- await drugs analysis.

[REDACTED]?

ime frames:

i suspect the drugs analysis will take some time.
 CCTV to be viewed ASAP to see if an offence can be seen to occur

4	Investigator action	23/01/2022 00:28	22/01/2022 22:00	#002449 MACGREGOR, STUART	No	
---	---------------------	------------------	------------------	---------------------------	----	--

Log entry:

SPC2449 Contacted Regency Chinese restaurant to confirm details of door staff and what outside agency they work for.

Contacted the security agency to be informed that their system is down and will have to contact them on Monday when their computers would be running again.

5	CMU	23/01/2022 17:06		#006236 SHIELD, DAVE	No	
---	-----	------------------	--	----------------------	----	--

Log entry:

Reviewed, screened in, NCRS compliant. To be monitored to closure by OICs Supervision

6 Supervisor review 29/01/2022 14:44 #000592 LEWIS, OLIVER No
 Log entry: A/PS 592 Lewis - OIC has enquiries in hand, CCTV to be viewed and await any Urine Sample analysis.

7 Investigator action 13/02/2022 11:26 #001665 SOUSTER, KATHERINE No
 Log entry: several conversations had with Regency Chinese re. CCTV. at first they had cameras in all the rooms, then they called back to say it wasn't working in the room they were in. they rang back again to say actually the CCTV was wiped at the end of the month and cannot be viewed (despite telling me it is kept for 30 days).
 i have updated [REDACTED] she understands but said she has since seen a video of the bouncer/door man carrying these 16 year old girls on his shoulders on the night of the incident.
 more checks required on door man. i need to speak to the agency to obtain his full name, DoB and address.

8 Supervisor review 14/02/2022 17:03 #000133 BOOTH, JACKIE No
 Log entry: PS133 have been made aware of the licensing issues and the CCTV being deleted. This is a breach of the Premise Licence conditions for which the licence was previously revoked. Licensing to progress enforcement regarding this aspect.

9 Investigator action 15/02/2022 23:02 #001665 SOUSTER, KATHERINE No
 Log entry: I attended regency Chinese to obtain their CCTV, following a call to them from licensing advising them of my attendance and that they would be in breach of their licence if not available.
 The CCTV was still not available. there seemed to be some confusion as to whether the CCTV would be deleted after 28 days of being recorded or just every 28 days. 'rolling 28 day CCTV' could not be understood by staff.
 despite knowing we were coming there was no one present able to work the CCTV.
 after about 1.5 hours of phone calls and conversations about what CCTV is needed a 'technical specialist' from one of the other restaurants agreed to come in the morning and sort it. Regency were given one more opportunity to provide this CCTV and stated they would drop it in at Fulford road tomorrow morning.
 when i start work at 1900 tomorrow, if the CCTV is not there, myself and OSU officers will re-attend regency and take their hard drive, under section 19 of pace.
 manager or regency was warned that if their CCTV hard drive was seized then they would be in breach of their licence for having no CCTV.
 also to note, the restaurant are not keeping adequate records of their working staff, the door staff did not sign in or out the day on the incident despite us knowing he was working. there are barely any records for January 2022 of any door staff.
 [REDACTED]

10 Supervisor review 16/02/2022 18:08 #000133 BOOTH, JACKIE No
 Log entry: PS133 I have noted the update that officers have not been provided the CCTV despite communication by PC Hollis to the Premises Licence Holder Mr Koay on 15/02/16 to comply with his Premise licence conditions and provide this to officers. My advise is to now seize the hard drive under section 19 of PACE (if the premises are open to the public and officers are present lawfully to investigate an offence and there is a belief that the premises are failing to comply the hard drive can be seized and sent for examination to Calder Park). OIC to please email the York Licensing officer PC Hollis when this has been done and PC Hollis will then deal with the licensing breaches and any prosecution for 136 offences under the Licensing Act.

11 Investigator action 16/02/2022 21:55 #001665 SOUSTER, KATHERINE No
 Log entry: Click to open: [Exhibit \(POTF\) Submission Form](#)

12 Investigator action 17/02/2022 00:44 #001665 SOUSTER, KATHERINE No
 Log entry: i have attended regency chinese following no CCTV being presented for viewing or download. i have explained that under section 19 of pace i would need to take the hard drive to obtain the data required. the staff called their lawyer who i spoke to, he then had a conversation with staff and they then allowed me to take the hard drive without issues. they directed me to the hard drive, stating the other boxes were for karaoke. the drive seized was by the screens, all of which seemed to be working at the time.
 it is noted that the time on the CCTV is 13 minutes fast but the date correct.
 hard drive taken to fulford and booked in as exhibit KS/03
 i have attempted to fill in a DFU referral, using the new procedure. i have not done one before. awaiting outcome of authorisation.
 yesterday i send a DPA to strong guard security re, the door staff's details. nothing back yet.
 no results from the urine sample back yet.

13 Other 17/02/2022 19:27 #006662 THACKRAY, RACHEL No
 Log entry: victim updated.
 [REDACTED] He has received the DPA request but can't provide any details of the male as he is not employed by the company itself. He is a sub-contractor who provides extra cover if [REDACTED]
 [REDACTED] Email to PC1665 with update.

14 Investigator action 21/02/2022 07:26 #001665 SOUSTER, KATHERINE No
 Log entry: I am currently struggling to get any information about the door security staff. Given that the security company rang on 101 instead of replying to me directly, and failed to provide return contact details, i would say they have been most unhelpful. i have replied to the security company again asking for direct contact via email for the director.
 i would suspect that they have more [REDACTED] for [REDACTED], they have also not provided any rota as requested.
 [REDACTED]

he CCTV from the regency has been examined and does not show any footage of he night of the incident. here were no incidents matching this MO recorded in York around the same time. he victim does not think and of her friends will have been involved and watched the bar staff make her drinks. she didnt leave her drink unattended at any point.

here are no further lines of enquiry for this incident., the victim has been given safety advice.

Safeguarding:

Has the correct level of safeguarding been implemented? [Yes](#)

no suspect iden ified

Where applicable, relevant forms have been completed and evidential material attached to the Occurrence?	Yes
I have checked that all required crimes are recorded, in line with the NCRS/HOCR.	Yes
All relevant Crimes/Occurrences are linked to this record on Niche.	Yes
All tasks are actioned and complete.	Yes
All property has been returned, as appropriate.	Yes
A PPN has been submitted and reviewed.	N/A
Form 174 (Hate Crime) has been submitted.	N/A
PENY Form 145 (Youth Referral) has been submitted.	N/A
Has the Victim/their Representative been updated with the Outcome?	Yes
A Victim Witness Contact Module (VWCM) has been completed.	Yes

21

Supervisor review 14/06/2022 18:02 14/06/2022 18:02 #001052 COOPER, No WESLEY

Log entry:

Investigation - Sergeant's Final Outcome Review

I have reviewed the CAD and OEL, including the OIC's proposal for finalisation below.

An appropriate entry from a Supervisor is recorded on this OEL detailing the rationale for a disposal and that decision is compliant with DPP Guidance and all other relevant policies/procedures. [Yes](#)

Where the above rationale is missing or insufficient, record sufficient detail for closure here:

The OIC template covers the finalisation rationale

The disposal decision is identical to the crime which is recorded? Where an alternative offence has been used to dispose of the recorded crime, this will be reviewed by the Force DDM. The rational for an alternative offence is:

[Enter details here.](#)

I have checked the OIC's answers to the closure questions and am satisfied that the criteria for closure is met, under Outcome 18.

The Expected Standards of Investigation have been met.	Yes
Relevant documentation specific to the disposal is attached as required.	Yes
Appropriate Crimes are recorded.	Yes
Has a Victim Personal Statement been offered or completed?	Yes
Tasks and Property are appropriately actioned.	Yes
Appropriate documentation such as MG11s/PNB entries, exhibits, PPNs, F174s etc have been attached.	Yes
All relevant Nominal/s are linked to the record.	Yes
Victim has been updated with outcome and Niche is Victim Code Compliant.	No
A Victim Witness Contact Module (VWCM) has been completed.	Yes
Child's Voice recorded on PPN.	N/A

Please Finalise/Returned to OIC for further actions as below:

Victim to be contacted prior to finalisation to update